

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY. DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,346	10/	26/2001	Joseph J. Sumakeris	5000.221	2872	
21176	7590	07/16/2003				
	SUMMA & ALLAN, P.A.			EXAMINER		
SUITE 200	CHARLOTTE, NC 28277				MUNSON, GENE M	
CHARLOTTI					PAPER NUMBER	
				2811		
•				DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

n		
п	A .	
v	w	

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/46, 346

Applicant(s)

J. SUMAKERIS ET AL

Examiner

G. MUNSON

Group Art Unit 2811

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _____ONE___ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
Attachment(s)	•
*Certified copies not received:	•
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT F	* "
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Appl	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119 (a)–(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the E	xaminer
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ ap	•
Application Papers	requirement
⊠ Claim(s) /-5/	
□ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Of the above claim(s)	
⊠ Claim(s) /-5/	
Disposition of Claims	
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal mat accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453	ners, prosecution as to the merits is closed in O.G. 213.
☐ This action is FINAL.	
□ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
Status	•
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the state of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) M. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the appropriate the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this content adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. polication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

// Part of Paper No. _

Application/Control Number: 10/046,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I. Figure 4; claims 7-20, 45 and 49-51

II. Figure 6; claim 46

III. Figure 7; claim 48

IV. Figure 8; claim 47

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 29-35, 37, 38, 40, 41, 43 and 44 are generic; for species I, II and III, claims 1-6 and 21-28 are generic; for species I, III and IV, claims 36 and 39 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

Art Unit: 2811

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Munson/ek (703) 308-4925 or 0956

07/11/03

GENE M. MUNSON

EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 2831